Delegated Decision Notice

This form is the written record of a key, significant operational or administrative decision taken by an officer.

Decision type	☐ Key Decision	Significant	Administrative		
		Operational Decision	Decision		
Approximate	☐ Below £500,000	☐ below £25,000	☐ below £25,000		
value	☐ £500,000 to	∑ £25,000 to £100,000	£25,000 to £100,000		
	£1,000,000	£100,000 to £500,000			
	over £1,000,000	Over £500,000			
Director ¹	Director of City Development				
Contact person:	Natalie Curgenven		Telephone number:		
			0113 535 0988		
Subject ² :	Service Review, Active Leeds – John Charles Centre for Sport (Tennis Centre)				
Decision	What decision has been ta	aken?			
	(Set out all necessary decisions to be taken by the decision taker including decisions in				
details ³ :,	relation to exempt information, exemption from call in etc.)				
	The Chief Officer Operations approved				
	a) the delivery of 'business as usual' savings at John Charles Centre for				
	Sport (JCSC) associated with the operation of the facility				
	b) extra time to hold further discussions with the interested third party in				
	realtion to the potential future operation of the Tennis Centre to				
	determine if a viable proposition exists for the Centre to operate under a				
	lease or licence arrangement.				
	c) the service to find the replacement savings to allow for the 2021/22				
	slippage while these options outlined at a) are explored.				
	A brief statement of the reasons for the decision (Include any significant financial, procurement, legal or equalities implications, having consulted with Finance, PACS, Legal, HR and Equality colleagues as appropriate)				
	Following the identification of the needs for Service Savings as agreed by				
	Executive Board, discussions have been held regarding the potential for the				

¹ Give title of Director with delegated responsibility for function to which decision relates.

² If the decision is key and has appeared on the list of forthcoming key decisions, the title of the decision should be the same as that used in the list

³ Simply refer to supporting report where used as these matters have been set out in detail.

Natalie Curgenven, March 2021 and into the first quarter 2021/22				
Officer accountable, and proposed timescales for implementation				
Others: As detailed in the DDR				
Ward Councillors: As detailed in the DDR				
Executive Member: Councillor Rafique				
N/A				
savings. This was not considered appropriate given the potential ability for the facility to continue to operate through an interested third party.				
The alternative decision was to close the Tennis Centre to realise the required				
maker at the time of making the decision.				
Brief details of any alternative options considered and rejected by the decision				
whilst the future operation of the Tennis Centre facility is considred.				
approval is provided for replacement savings to be found within the Service				
Given the need for financial savings to be delivered with a full year effect				
Other savings at JCSC will be delivered on a 'business as usual' basis.				
parties to see if the proposed arrangement is a viable proposition.				
this DDN confirms that the Service can continue to work with potential third				
therefore additional time is required to undertake due diligence of any proposal				
total savings required at JCSC. These discussions are not yet complete and				
Centre could bring forwards savings which would be utilised to help deliver the				
Tennis Centre at John Charles Centre for Sport to be operated by a third party under a lease or licence agreement. The external operation of the Tennis				

⁴ Include details of any interest disclosed by an elected Member on consultation and the date of any relevant dispensation given.

Forthcoming Key Decisions ⁵	If Special Urgency or General Exception a brief statement of the reason why it is impracticable to delay the decision				
	If Special Urgency Relevant Scrutiny Chair(s) approval				
	Signature		Date		
Publication of	If not published for 5 clear working days prior to decision being taken the				
report ⁶	reason why not possible:				
	If published late relevant Executive member's approval				
	Signature		Date		
Call In	Is the decision available ⁷	Yes	☐ No		
	for call-in?				
	If exempt from call-in, the the council or the public:	e reason why call-in would p	prejudice the interests of		
Approval of	Authorised decision maker ⁸				
Decision	Phil Evans Chief Officer, Operations				
	Signature		Date		
			26 th February 2021		
	96km				

⁵ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 2.4 - 2.6. Complete this section for key decisions only ⁶ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 3.1. Complete this section for key decisions only

⁷ See Executive and Decision Making Procedure Rule 5.1. Significant operational decisions taken by officers are never available for call in. Key decisions are always available for call in unless they have been exempted from call in under rule 5.1.3.

⁸ Give the post title and name of the officer with appropriate delegated authority to take the decision.